FOC MAIL SECTION

Before the Federal Communications Commission 92 Washington, 74. 203549

MM Docket 18-193-2814 1 BY

In re Applications of

**SPECTRUM** 

File No. BPH-911031MC

BROADCASTING CO.

(hereafter "Spectrum")

File No. BPH-911031MD

STEVEN L. GRADICK (hereafter "Gradick")

JEFFREY P. DAVIS

File No. BPH-911031ME

TERRY C. JENKS

File No. BPH-911031MF

For Construction Permit for a New FM Station on Channel 288A in Bowdon, Georgia

## HEARING DESIGNATION ORDER

Adopted: February 2, 1993; Released: February 24, 1993

By the Chief, Audio Services Division:

- 1. The Commission has before it the above-captioned mutually exclusive applications for a new FM station.
- 2. Gradick is the permittee of WCKS(FM) in Fruithurst, Alabama. Gradick has indicated his intent to terminate his relationship with WCKS(FM) in the event of grant of the referenced application. Accordingly, in the event of grant of Gradick's application, Gradick will be required to sever all connection with WCKS(FM) prior to the commencement of program test authority.
- 3. Our engineering study indicates that Spectrum failed to address the matter of how they propose to resolve any RF exposure to workers on their tower. Gradick responded to this issue, however, his response did not adequately address the issue. See 47 C.F.R. § 1.1307(b). Specifically, Gradick states, "[s]hould it become necessary for workers or other authorized personnel to enter the restricted area and climb the tower, the applicant verifies that appropriate measures will be taken to assure that no exposure to radiofrequency radiation in excess of the ANSI radiofrequency protection guides will occur." The applicants should specifically explain what steps will be taken to limit the radiofrequency radiation exposure to workers allowed access to the site. Consequently, we are concerned that both Spectrum and Gradick may have failed to comply with the environmental criteria set forth in the Report and Order in GEN Docket No. 79-163, 51 Fed. Reg. 14999 (April 12, 1986). See also, Public Notice entitled "Further Guidance for Broadcasters Regarding Radiofrequency Radiation and the Environment" (released January 24, 1986). Under the rules, applicants must determine whether their

proposals would have a significant environmental effect under the criteria set out in 47 C.F.R. § 1.1307. If the application is determined to be subject to environmental processing under the 47 C.F.R. § 1.1307 criteria, the applicant must then submit an Environmental Assessment (EA) containing the information delineated in 47 C.F.R. § 1.1311. Section 1.1307 states that an EA must be prepared if the proposed operation would cause exposure to workers or the general public to levels of RF radiation exceeding specific standards. Since Spectrum and Gradick inadequately indicated how workers engaged in maintenance and repair would be protected from exposure to levels exceeding the ANSI guidelines, each will be required to submit the environmental impact information described in 47 C.F.R. § 1.1311. See generally, OST Bulletin No. 65 (October, 1985) entitled "Evaluating Compliance With FCC-Human Guidelines Exposure Specified For Radiofrequency Radiation," at 28. Therefore Spectrum and Gradick will be required to file, within 30 days of the release of this Order, an EA with the presiding Administrative Law Judge. In addition, a copy shall be filed with the Chief, Audio Services Division, who will then proceed regarding this matter in accordance with the provisions of 47 C.F.R. § 1.1308. Accordingly, the comparative phase of the case will be allowed to begin before the environmental phase is completed. See Golden State Broadcasting Corp., 71 FCC 2d 229 (1979), recon. denied sub nom. Old Pueblo Broadcasting Corp., 83 FCC 2d 337 (1980). In the event the Mass Media Bureau determines, based on its analysis of the Environmental Assessments, that the applicants' proposals will not have a significant impact upon the quality of the human environment, the contingent environmental issue shall be deleted and the presiding judge shall thereafter not consider the environmental effects of the proposal. See 47 C.F.R. § 1.1308(d).

- 4. Gradick petitioned for leave to amend his application on March 17, 1992, April 24, 1992, and August 14, 1992. The accompanying amendments were filed after March 2, 1992, the last date for filing minor amendments as of right. Under Section 1.65 of the Commission's Rules, the amendments are accepted for filing. However, an applicant may not improve its comparative position after the time for filing amendments as of right has passed. Therefore, any comparative advantage resulting from the amendments will be disallowed.
- 5. Data submitted by the applicants indicate that there would be a significant difference in the size of the areas and populations which would receive service from the proposals. Consequently, the areas and populations which would receive FM service of 1 mV/m or greater intensity, together with the availability of other primary aural services in such areas, will be considered under the standard comparative issue for the purpose of determining whether a comparative preference should accrue to any of the applicants.
- 6. Except as may be indicated by any issues specified below, the applicants are qualified to construct and operate as proposed. Since the proposals are mutually exclusive, they must be designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding on the issues specified below.
- 7. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, That, pursuant to Section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the applications ARE DESIGNATED FOR HEARING IN A CONSOLIDATED PROCEEDING, at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent Order, upon the following issues:

- 1. If a final environmental impact statement is issued with respect to Spectrum and Gradick in which it is concluded that the proposed facility is likely to have an adverse effect on 33the quality of the environment, to determine whether the proposals are consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act, as implemented by 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.1301-1319.
- 2. To determine which of the proposals would, on a comparative basis, best serve the public interest.
- 3. To determine, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the specified issues, which of the applications should be granted, if any.
- 8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That Gradick shall sever all connection with WCKS(FM), Fruithurst, Alabama, prior to the commencement of program test authority.
- 9. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That in accordance with paragraph 3 hereinabove, Spectrum and Gradick shall submit the environmental assessment required by 47 C.F.R. § 1.1311 to the presiding Administrative Law Judge within 30 days of the release of this Order, with a copy to the Chief, Audio Services Division.
- 10. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That the petitions for leave to amend filed by Gradick ARE GRANTED, and the corresponding amendments ARE ACCEPTED to the extent indicated herein.
- 11. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That a copy of each document filed in this proceeding subsequent to the date of adoption of this Order shall be served on the counsel of record in the Hearing Branch appearing on behalf of the Chief, Mass Media Bureau. Parties may inquire as to the identity of the counsel of record by calling the Hearing Branch at (202) 632-6402. Such service shall be addressed to the named counsel of record, Hearing Branch, Enforcement Division, Mass Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 2025 M Street, N.W., Suite 7212, Washington, D.C. 20554. Additionally, a copy of each amendment filed in this proceeding subsequent to the date of adoption of this Order shall be served on the Chief, Data Management Staff, Audio Services Division, Mass Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, Room 350, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington D.C. 20554.
- 12. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That, to avail themselves of the opportunity to be heard, the applicants and any party respondent herein shall, pursuant to Section 1.221(c) of the Commission's Rules, in person or by attorney, within 20 days of the mailing of this Order, file with the Commission, in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for hearing and to present evidence on the issues specified in this Order. Pursuant to Section 1.325(c) of the Commission's Rules. within five days after the date established for filing notices of appearance, the applicants shall serve upon the other parties that have filed notices of appearance the materials listed in: (a) the Standard Document Production Order (see Section 1.325(c)(1) of the Rules); and (b) the Standardized Integration Statement (see Section 1.325(c)(2) of the Rules), which must also be filed with the presiding officer. Failure to so serve the required materials may constitute a failure to prosecute, resulting in dismissal of the application. See generally Proposals to Reform the Commission's Comparative Hearing Process (Report and Order in Gen.

- Doc. 90-264), 6 FCC Rcd 157, 160-1, 166, 168 (1990), on reconsideration, FCC 91-154, paras. 7-8 & n.3, Appendix paras. 3 & 5, released May 15, 1991.
- 13. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That the applicants herein shall, pursuant to Section 311(a)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 73.3594 of the Commission's Rules, give notice of the hearing within the time and in the manner prescribed in such Rule, and shall advise the Commission of the publication of such notice as required by Section 73.3594(g) of the Rules.

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

W. Jan Gay, Assistant Chief Audio Services Division Mass Media Bureau